

From: [Schnepp, Jason](#)
To: [Ogulei, David](#)
Cc: [Romaine, Chris](#)
Subject: RE: Book1.xlsx
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 1:44:54 PM

I don't think that works either:

	BAE	PTE	Increase	Increase w/RTO	Calculated OCE
Mixer 5 and die	3.21	7.77	4.56	1.61	64.69298246

	Adj. BAE	PAE	Increase
Mixer 5 and die	1.13335526	2.74335526	1.61

PAE (w/ RTO)	4.82
Assumed CE:	38.0%
Adj. BAE	1.99
Adj. Increase:	2.83

Your PAE (w/ RTO) added the uncontrolled baseline (3.21) with the controlled increase (1.61). I don't think you should add uncontrolled with controlled to get the projection. This throws the other numbers off. I am sending another version in a separate email.

From: David Ogulei [mailto:Ogulei.David@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 11:29 AM
To: Schnepp, Jason
Subject: Re: Book1.xlsx

I would approach it a little differently. See if you agree with the attached.

(See attached file: Continental calcs_DO.xlsx)

 "Schnepp, Jason" ---10/02/2012 09:44:27 AM---David, I did a quick check on Mixer 5 calculations and come up with the same increase. Does this lo

From: "Schnepp, Jason" <Jason.Schnepp@Illinois.gov>
To: David Ogulei/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
Date: 10/02/2012 09:44 AM
Subject: Book1.xlsx

David,

I did a quick check on Mixer 5 calculations and come up with the same increase. Does this look right to you? Look at rows 21-25. Given information is in grey and calculated information is in blue.

Thanks.

jason[attachment "Book1.xlsx" deleted by David Ogulei/R5/USEPA/US]